

VIA FAX (213-897-1071) and FIRST CLASS MAIL

 Susan K. Smith, Deputy Attorney General for Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General State of California
 Department of Justice
 300 South Spring Street, #1702
 Los Anneles, CA 90013

DEC 17 2012

RE: MUR 6575

State of California

Dear Ms. Smith:

On May 17, 2012, the Federal Election Commission notified Attorney General Kamala D. Harris of a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. On December 12, 2012, the Commission found, on the basis of the information in the complaint, and information provided by you, that there is no reason to believe the Statn of California violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A). Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's findings, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Knrnau Philbert, the atturney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Mark D. Shonkwiler

Sincerely

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure

Factual and Legal Analysis

I	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
2	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
4	
5 6	RESPONDENTS: Lisa Buescher ¹ MUR: 6575 State of California
7	Doug LaMalfa
8	Doug LaMalfa Committee
9	David Bauer in his official
10	capacity as treasurer
11	
12	I. INTRODUCTION
13	The Complaint alloges that congressional candidate Doug LaMalfa, the State of
14	California, and Lisa Buescher violeted the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended
15	(the "Act"), when Buescher, a state employee, spoke at a candidate forum on behalf of LaMalfa.
16	Respondents provided information, including an affidavit from Buescher, showing that
17	Buescher used bona fide vacation leave to attend the forum. Based on the available
18	information, the Commission finds no reason to believe that respondents violated 2 U.S.C.
19	§§ 434(b) or 441a and closes the file.
20	II. FACTS
21	Doug LaMalfa was a candidate for the First Congressional District of California in 2012.
22	The Doug LaMalfa Committee (the "Committee") is LaMalfa's congressional principal
23	campaign committee, and David Bauer is the Committee's treasurer.
24	LaMalfa also was a sitting California State Senator during the relevant period. ² Lisa
25	Buescher served as LaMalfa's State District Director during the relevant period. See Doug
26	

This respondent was identified in the complaint as Lisa "Busher." She was subsequently identified as Lisa "Buescher" in responses to the complaint, and the name was changed accordingly.

LaMalfa resigned his state senate position on August 31, 2012 to focus on the November 2012 general election, which he won. See Doug LaMalfa Committee Supp. Resp. at 1 (November 13, 2012).

19

2-3.

Factual And Legal Analysis MUR 6575 (Lisa Buescher, et al.) Page 2 of 4

1 LaMalfa Committee Supp. Resp., Attach. at 1 ("Lisa Buescher Decl.").

2 The Complaint alleges that Buescher, a paid state employee, attended a candidate forum 3 on behalf of LaMalfa to promote LaMalfa's congressional candidacy. See Compl. at 1. The 4 forum was held by the Nevada County Republican Women Federated ("NCRWF") political 5 organization March 20, 2012, at the Alta Sierra Country Club in Grass Valley, California. Id. 6 The forum provided an opportunity for Republican candidates for various federal and state 7 offices to present their qualifications and platforms. See Compl. The minutes of the mogram, 8 attached as an Exhibit to the Complaint, show that the forum was set up so that each candidate 9 or representative was allowed to speak for five minutes and answer questions after the forum. 10 See Compl., Ex. 2. According to the NCRWF minutes, 13 candidates or their representative 11 attended the forum, including "US Cong. Rep. Dist. 1, District director for incumbent Doug 12 LaMalfa, Lisa Busher [sic]." Id. The minutes indicate that the forum began at 12:03 p.m. and 13 ended at 1:33 p.m., and candidates stayed after the forum to answer questions. A total of 90 14 NCRWF members and guests attended the forum. Id. 15 In a sworn declaration, Buescher acknowledges that she attended the forum at LaMalfa's request and promoted LaMalfa's candidacy while there. 3 See Buescher Decl. at 1. Buescher 16 17 attests that, consistent with LaMalfa's office noticy ragarding political activity by employees, she took four hours of vacation time, in addition to her hunch hour, to attend the forum. 4 Id. at 18

The Committee submitted a supplemental response on November 13, 2012, that included Buescher's declaration; Buescher, had not previously responded individually to the complaint.

Buescher's declaration included a copy of her official vacation leave record and a copy of an e-mail communicating her leave request to LaMalfa's Senate Chief of Staff. Buescher Decl. at 2. Buescher also declared that she was entitled to one hour of leave time for lunch during her work day as a state senate employee. Buescher Decl. at 3.

1	The California Office of the Attorney General ("California Attorney General"), who the
2	Commission notified on behalf of the State of California, asserts that the California Attorney
3	General has no supervisory role over LaMalfa or Buescher and does not represent members of
4	the State Legislature. California Attorney General Resp. (June 1, 2012). The California
5	Attorney General also states that it has no specific knowledge regarding Complainant's
6	allegations and requested that no action be taken against the State of California. Id.
7	III. LEGAL ANALYSIS
8 9	The Complaint alleges that Buescher's attendance at the forum resulted in an excessive
10	in-kind contribution to the Committee that was not disclosed to the Commission in violation of
11	the Act.
12	A contribution includes anything of value made by any person for the purpose of
13	influencing any election for federal office or the payment by any person of compensation for the
14	personal services of another person which is rendered to a political committee without charge
15	for any purpose. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(A); 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.52, 100.54.
16	Under the Commission's regulations, however, no contribution results if an employee
17	uses buna fide paid vacation or other earned leave time to engage in political activity. See
18	11 C.F.R. § 100.54(c). The value of services provided without compensation by any individual
19	who volunteers on behalf of a candidate or political committee does not constitute a
20	contribution. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(B)(i); 11 C.F.R. § 100.74.
21	A person may not contribute more than an aggregate of \$2,500 to any candidate and his
22	authorized political committees for a federal election during the 2011-2012 election cycle.
23	2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A). The Commission treats state governments as persons subject to the

Factual And Legal Analysis MUR 6575 (Lisa Buescher, et al.) Page 4 of 4

- 1 contribution limits. See, e.g., MUR 5127 (Dem. Party of Illinois) (states are persons subject to
- 2 contribution limits). A political committee is prohibited from knowingly accepting any
- 3 contribution in excess of the contribution limits. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f). Contributions to political
- 4 committees must be disclosed to the Commission. 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).

5 Buescher admits that she attended the NCRWF forum on behalf of LaMalfa. Buescher

6 provided information showing, however, that she used four hours of bona fide vacation leave, in

7 addition to her lauch hour, to attend the forum. Because Buescher used hone fide vacation

8 leave to attend the forum, the value of her time is not a contribution to the Committee. See

9 11 C.F.R. § 100.54(c); MUR 5127 (contending that there was no contribution to the party

10 committee where chief of staff for state representative who served as executive director of a

11 party committee used accrued leave to perform party activities during business hours).

Therefore, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Lisa Buescher and the State

of California violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A); that Doug LaMalfa violated 2 U.S.C.

14 § 441a(a)(1)(A) or 441a(f); and that Doug LaMalfa Committee and David Bauer in his official

capacity as treasurer violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 434(b) or 441a(f).

The only specific exclusion from the term "person" is the federal government and its related entities. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(11); 11 C.F.R. § 100.10.